·	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/625,488	MISKECH, PETE
	Examiner	Art Unit
	L Amerson	3764
All Participants: Status of Application: pending		
(1) <u>L Amerson</u> .	(3)	
(2) John Chupa, applicant's representative.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 10 March 2004	Time: <u>AM</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.	r	
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 41-43,45		•
Prior art documents discussed: none		
Part II.	•	
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: The Examiner proposed an amendment to the claims and the representative approved.		
Part III.	•	
 □ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. □ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
·		
•		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	'Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)